

Date:

March 25, 2003

No. of Pages:

6 (including this cover sheet)

Fax No:

(703) 872-9302

PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES IMMEDIATELY TO: CAOUP 3 TOO

Name:

Commissioner for Patents

Art Unit:

3723

Examiner:

Maurina T. Rachuba

Phone:

(703) 308-1361

From:

Daniel R. Kimbell Reg. No. 34,849

Application No. 09/909,353; Filed July 18, 2001

Entitled KNIFE SHARPENING SYSTEM

File:

45243/DRK/M704

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING FACSIMILE TRANSMITTED TO THE

D TRADEMARK OFFICE ON March 25, 2003.

Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP

350 West Colorado Boulevard Post Office Box 7068 Pasadena, CA 91109-7068

626-795-9900

Fax: 626-577-8800

For Office Services Use Only Return to Elizabeth A. Jue

confidential

The information in this transmission is confidential and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone collect, and return the original message to us at the above address via U.S. mail. We will reimburse you for postage. Thank you.

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent via facsimile to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 2023 from March 25, 2003

Elizabeth A. Jue

Applicant

Martin R. Carbone

Application No.:

09/909,358

Filed

July 18, 2001

Title

KNIFE SHARPENING SYSTEM

Grp./Div.

3723

Examiner

RACHUBA, MAURINA T.

Docket No.

45243/DRK/M704

Post Office Box 7068
Pasadena, CA 91109-7068
March 25, 2003

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231


Enclosed is an amendment to the above-identified application.

		LAIMS AS	AMENDE	Ď: <u>".</u>		··			
	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Paid For	Number Extra Claims	Small Entity Rate	Lorge Entity Rate	FEE			
Total Claims Fee	15	* 20		x \$9. 00	x \$18.00				
Independent Claims	2	** 3		x \$42.00	x \$84.00	_ 			
Multiple Dependent				\$140.00	\$280.00				
TOTAL FILING FEE									
NO ADDITIONAL FEE REQUIRED ****	IF NO FEE REQUIRED, INSERT "0"								
LIST INDEPENDENT (LAIMS: 1, 10								
* 1F HIGHEST NUMBER *** PAY THE FEE ONLY '	PREVIOUSLY PAIL) FOR IS 3 OR	LESS, WRITE	ADDED FOR PHE) :	411			

Attached is	our check	for \$	to pay	the	fees	calculated	above.

A Petition for Extension of Time and the required fce are enclosed.

Other enclosures:



The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required by or to give effect to this paper to Deposit Account No. 03-1728. Please show our docket number with any charge or credit to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

By Compared R Kimber

Reg. No. 34,849 626/795-9900

DRK/eaj EAJ PAS495T08.1-*-3V25/08 2:20 PM Sent By: Chistie, Parker & Hale;

6265778800;

Mar-25-03 3:28PM;

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent via facsimile to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 on March 25, 2003.

Applicant

: Martin R. Carbone

Application No. : 09/909,353

Filed

: July 18, 2001

Title

: KNIFE SHARPENING SYSTEM

Grp./Div.

: 3723

Examiner

: RACHUBA, MAURINA T.

Docket No.

: 45243/DRK/M704

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Post Office Box 7068 Pasadena, CA 91109-7068 March 25, 2003

Commissioner:

This paper is filed in response to the Office action of January 13, 2003. Kindly consider the rejection in view of the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1-15 are pending herein, with no amendments made herein. The Examiner rejects claims 1, 5, 8-10 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. patent No. 5,462,476 to Bohn, and refers to figure 1 and 2 thereof. The Examiner objects to claims 2-4, 6, 7, 11-13 and 15 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicates that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.